

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,840	10/30/2003	Rainer Weisbrodt	WSP219US	9134	
7550 01/39/2998 Simpson & Simpson PLLC 5555 Main Street			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PICKARD, ALISON K		
Williamsville, NY 14221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/696,840 WEISBRODT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Alison K. Pickard 3676 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on after final of 1-11-08. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-4.7-9.11-13.15 and 19-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4,7-9,11-13,15, 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/696,840 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

 Upon further consideration, the allowability of claim 17 (from action dated 9-15-06) has been withdrawn. The examiner regrets any inconvenience this may cause.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 7-9, 11-13, 15, 19, and 20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The language in claims 7, 8, 19, and 20 appears to already be present in the base claim (2). And claims 9, 13, and 15 depend from a cancelled claim (claim 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 2, 3, 7, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Papenguth (3.215,442).

Papenguth discloses a flat sealing ring (e.g. see Fig. 9) having an elastically deformable base ring 12, a stiffening ring 11 or 40, and a protective layer (other of 11 or 40). The core has a cross-section with a center 34 and first and second wing sections 33/42. Each wing has first and second planar surfaces extending directly from the center. And the center has a first surface directly connected with the first planar surfaces and disposed entirely beyond the plane they lie

Art Unit: 3676

in. The protective layer (e.g. lips 24/25 of 11) covers at least a portion of the base ring. The stiffening ring is provided at an inner or outer edge of the base ring. The ring is metal and has a height less than the greatest height of the base ring. Element 11 can be considered a one-piece stiffening ring and protective layer in a similar manner that Applicant's is. And, as seen in the figures, portions 24 and 25 contact at least portions of the deformable core.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4, 8, 9, 11-13, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papenguth.

Papenguth disclose that rings 11 and 40 can be "metal or other rigid material." However, Papenguth does not appear to specify that the material is stainless steel or PTFE. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is not considered inventive. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). Both are know materials that are corrosion resistant. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the rings of either stainless steel or PTFE.

Response to Arguments

7. The claims only require portions of the core to be covered by the protective layer. The core is defined as the center sections and the first and second wings. Legs 24 and 25 of element 11 cover at least one of the wings and contact at least a portion of the center 34 (e.g. see Fig. 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,840

Art Unit: 3676

Alternately, Figure 9 would also read on the claims since portions of element 40 contact at least one of the wings. Olson '181 (e.g. Figure 5) would also read on the claims as written.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 571-272-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer Gay can be reached on 571-272-7029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alison K. Pickard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676